

The refutation that Ash'aries & Maturidies deny Allah's attributes and sisi Abu Iyad@
Asharies.com.

By Sidi Abdullah Faheem Ash-Shafi'i

إِنَّ الْحَمْدَ لِلَّهِ نَحْمَدُهُ وَنُسْتَعِينُهُ وَنَسْتَغْفِرُهُ وَنَعُوذُ بِاللَّهِ مِنْ شَرِّهِ وَأَنْفُسَنَا وَمِنْ سَيِّئَاتِ أَعْمَالِنَا مَنْ يَهْدِهِ اللَّهُ
فَلَا مُضِلَّ لَهُ وَمَنْ يَضِلَّ فَلَا هَادِيَ لَهُ وَأَشْهَدُ أَنْ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ وَحْدَهُ لَا شَرِيكَ لَهُ وَأَنَّ مُحَمَّدًا عَبْدُهُ وَرَسُولُهُ

قال الله تعالى يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُوا اتَّقُوا اللَّهَ حَقَّ تَقَاتِهِ وَلَا تَمُوتُنَّ إِلَّا وَأَنْتُمْ مُسْلِمُونَ

وقال تعالى يَا أَيُّهَا النَّاسُ اتَّقُوا رَبَّكُمُ الَّذِي خَلَقَكُمْ مِنْ نَفْسٍ وَاحِدَةٍ وَخَلَقَ مِنْهَا زَوْجَهَا وَبَثَّ مِنْهُمَا رِجَالًا كَثِيرًا
وَنِسَاءً وَاتَّقُوا اللَّهَ الَّذِي تَسَاءَلُونَ بِهِ وَالْأَرْحَامَ إِنَّ اللَّهَ كَانَ عَلَيْكُمْ رَقِيبًا

وقال تعالى يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُوا اتَّقُوا اللَّهَ وَقُولُوا قَوْلًا سَدِيدًا يُصْلِحْ لَكُمْ أَعْمَالَكُمْ وَيَغْفِرْ لَكُمْ ذُنُوبَكُمْ وَمَنْ يُطِيعِ
اللَّهَ وَرَسُولَهُ فَقَدْ فَازَ فَوْزًا عَظِيمًا

فَإِنْ أَصْدَقُ الْحَدِيثِ كِتَابُ اللَّهِ تَعَالَى وَأَحْسَنُ الْهُدَى هُدَى مُحَمَّدٍ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ وَشَرُّ الْأُمُورِ مُحَدَّثَاتُهَا
وَكُلُّ مُحَدَّثَةٍ بِدْعَةٍ وَكُلُّ بِدْعَةٍ ضَلَالَةٌ وَكُلُّ ضَلَالَةٍ فِي النَّارِ

أَمَّا بَعْدُ

For surely Alllah has enjoined us to seek knowledge.Knowledge was one of the first sources of
risq for Adam(As) where Allah says(2:31):

وَعَلَّمَ آدَمَ الْأَسْمَاءَ كُلَّهَا ثُمَّ عَرَضَهُمْ عَلَى الْمَلَائِكَةِ فَقَالَ أَنْبِئُونِي بِأَسْمَاءِ هَؤُلَاءِ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ صَادِقِينَ

**And He taught Adam all the names (of everything) , then He showed them to the angels and
said, "Tell Me the names of these if you are truthful."**

And also(55:2):

عَلَّمَ الْقُرْآنَ

Teach Qur'an

And if we did not know he enjoined us to seek the knowledge by asking(16:43).

فَاسْأَلُوا أَهْلَ الذِّكْرِ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ لَا تَعْلَمُونَ

"Ask those whom know if we do not"

Then once we have learned our lord has commanded us to rise up and warn the people!

فَمُ قَانْذِرْ

(74:2)

One who does not have the knowledge can not be capable to warn the people. As this is against the Qur'an & Sunna! In this situation we have 2 cases: The first, the one who warns the people of innovation. And the 2nd is one who warns the people of an innovater! The one who warns the people of an innovation must be aware that the one being accused of an innovation is innovating. The one who has spread falsehood and brought changes into the ranks of the Muslims is the innovater himself. This is the one we need to warn against! Abu Iyad, I mean Him no harm by my tongue but the fact that he has unjustly slandered the schools of two great men. He runs a website entitled "Asharies.com" and "Maturidies.com". Where on this site he makes fun of the 2 schools and accuses them of innovation. Nothing more than "popculture stupefaction" & buffoonery. Anyone whom is learned knows about these two schools and their history knows their bases. Imam Ashari's(Ra) base was that of Imam Malik ibn Anas(Ra) and that of Imam Muhammed ibn Idris Ash-Shafi'i(Ra). And Imam Abu Mansur al Maturidi was that of Imam Abu Hanifah(Ra) and Imam Abu Ja'far at Tahawi(Ra). Whom yes all spoke ill of kalam but by it's "indulgence" only.

Meaning the one whom takes it up as sport and rhetoric. This is when the intellectuals were mixing doctrine with "theological rhetoric". This is to say...

Person A: Allah has eyes.

Person B: So if He has eyes can He see everything?

Person A: He is the all-seer

Person B: Define "all". And if He sees "all" then He can even see Himself; therefore if He can do that then He must be sight itself. So that would make Him some kind of light. He does say He is Nur in the Qur'an. If not, how is seeing "all" possible without some "mirror- image" and so forth.

And we the students memorize this dialog and take this back to the Nass or text (i.e Qur'an & Sunna). This is what is meant by indulgence. This was not what the Ash'aries and Maturidies were doing. The schools used this science to defeat the intellectuals from influencing the Islamic doctrine. So this science was called "Kalam".

Secondly: Imam Ash'ari was granted this by Rasullah (Sal Allahu Aliyhi wa Salaam) Himself in a intuition that even Salafi sources admit to this. None of the "main" Salafi ulema deny this intuition of Abul Hasan. It goes back to what was previously said! One should inquire from their own sources before saying such foolishness.

Thirdly: The issue of accusing Ash'aris & Maturidis as being "Mutakalimeen" (intellectuals

/kalamist).It is a fact that the Mutakalimeen were refuted by these two schools so how were they apart of the groups themselves?Yes,there were people from within the ranks of the two schools whom held contrary to the base belief of the schools and were refuted by their own.One can look at Ghazali(thuhafut) and Imam Juwayni(irshad) when they spoke on these issues.But the statements themselves weren't from the "doctrine"of these schools.

Forthly:The issue of denying Allah's attributes.As Imam Dardir Al Ash'ari says: "**We deny what is absurd.**"The sifat is confirmed by both schools the physicalities aren't.The tasbih is denied.As literalist is one who confirm the doctrine of Imam Al-Dahiri and Karrimiyyah.They like to anthropomorphize the sifat and that is what the Ash'aries & Maturidis deny.It was the doing of the Jahmiyyah and Mu'tazillite whom these schools both opposed that denied the attributes.

Fiftly:The works of Dur-us-Salaam is "rigged"with Ash'aries.The next time you claim Ash'aries are deviants you should look at all the authors you enjoy to read.Imam Nawawi(Ra) was Ash'ari and So was the teachers of Ibn Hajar Asqalani(Ra).And many more.

Six:Sufis being deviants.Shaykh Ibn Taymiyyah(Ra) himself were against some Ash'aries but not all(**A party of them He says..see commentary kitabul Wasitiyyah(Arabic)By Muhammed b.Salih Uthaymeen(Ra)**).But He himself was a follower and admirer of Abdul Qadir Al Jilayni(Ra)whom was a sufi and had the same Madhab of Muhammed ibn Abdul Wahhab(Ra)...Hanbali.Whom Ibn Tayimiyyah disliked the "Muqalid"system and stopped being a hanbali himself.Though Abdul Wahhab used the tawheed of Ibn Tayimiyyah.....

In conclusion.I have asked bro Iyad several times to take down the information on his site via email.Allah knows best why I never got a reply.And some of the listed proofs are not true and forged.If one wants to learn and he has no shaykh then access the libraries or online sources.Living scholars whom can point you in the right direction.But not Asharies.com.

May Allah accepts our deeds and efforts.And if I said anything wrong reachout to me insha'Allah.May Allah make us those whom He loves and keep us guided.Yaa Rub

Abdullah Faheem from Dar Ilm wa Taqwa

imamshafii1981@gmail.com

ilmattasawwuf.blogspot.com

youtube(<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCnCxWfnqZNkPO64ejuEQnuA>)

